

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,542	02/10/2000	Bruce L Davis	60109	5321
23735 7590 04/06/2009 DIGIMARC CORPORATION 9405 SW GEMINI DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			FADOK, MARK A	
BEAVERTON	I, OR 97008		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/502 542 DAVIS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MARK FADOK 3625 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-7.12-19.21.26.27.31 and 33-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-6.9.12-19 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 7.21.26.31.33-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

51 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/502,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 3625

Response to Pre Appeal Request

A pre-Appeal conference result was mailed out 3/23/2009 indicating that the previous office action is withdrawn and procecution is hereby reopened. Applicant's remarks and amendments from claims submitted 8/1/2008 have been carefully considered and based on the information provided the following restriction is deemed to be nessisary.

Election Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 7, drawn to using data collected during an online shopping session with a shopping visit to a brick and mortar store, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
- II. Claims 21, drawn to collecting a list of items not necisarily electronicly and using this list for an online shopping session (could include a hand written list of products of interest, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
- III. Claims 26,33 drawn to sensing product indicia at a brick and mortar store to develop a list and an using the list to develop a customized catalog from an online vendor different from the brick and mortar vendor, classified in class 705, subclass 27.

Art Unit: 3625

IV. Claims 31, drawn to sensing product indicia at a brick and mortar store for purchase and fulfillment by a remote third party fulfillment center, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

V. Claims 34,35,36 drawn to collecting data from a plurality of vendors to develop a list and using the list to develop a customized catalog from an online vendor, classified in class 705, subclass 27.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as collecting information at a Brick and Mortar store for use online. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as developing a customized catalog from an online vendor different from the brick and mortar vendor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in Application/Control Number: 09/502,542

Art Unit: 3625

scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as fulfillment by a remote third party fulfillment center. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as collecting data from a plurality of vendors to develop a list and using the list to develop a customized catalog from an online vendor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as developing a customized catalog from an online vendor different from the brick and mortar vendor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as fulfillment by a remote third party fulfillment center. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in Application/Control Number: 09/502,542

Art Unit: 3625

scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as collecting data from a plurality of vendors to develop a list and using the list to develop a customized catalog from an online vendor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as fulfillment by a remote third party fulfillment center. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as collecting data from a plurality of vendors to develop a list and using the list to develop a customized catalog from an online vendor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as collecting data from a plurality of vendors to develop a list and using the list to develop a customized catalog from an online vendor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/502,542

Art Unit: 3625

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **571.272.6755**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jeffrey Smith** can be reached on **571.272.6763**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Application/Control Number: 09/502,542 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

For general questions the receptionist can be reached at

571.272.3600

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mark Fadok/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625